Changes in Diatom Taxa in Sierra Nevada Lakes during the 20th Century: Implications for Critical Loads Development



Moat Lake in winter



Psammothidium subatomoides

Stauroneis construens

Jim Sickman, Danuta Bennett and Delores Lucero Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California Riverside

> Contributing Scientists Annie Esperanza and Leland Tarnay National Park Service, SEKI and Yosemite



Goals of Today's Talk Paleolimnology research in Sierra Nevada: Determine water quality parameters that most strongly affect diatom communities Develop diatom-chemistry inference models Develop diatom stratigraphy and apply models to sediments cores If changes are detected, determine if they are related to increased atmospheric deposition of acids and nutrients or something else .?

Study Design



<u>Methods</u>

- 1. Sample 50 lakes for chemistry and diatoms
- 2. Perform PCA and CCA to identify most important water quality parameters
- 3. Develop transfer functions between diatoms and chemistry
- 4. Develop diatom stratigraphy
- Apply the functions to stratigraphy to reconstruct past water quality

Step 1: Calibration Survey (50 lakes)



seki roads

seki trails

seki lakes

Lake chemistry (major ions, nutrients, seston, fish) Lake and watershed characteristics (elevation, depth, area, Lat/Long) Diatom counts





ose roads

ose trails

ose lakes

SOIDER

Courtesy of Roland Knapp

Step 2:

Principal Components and Canonical Correspondence Analysis



Results from PCA and CCA (1)

Data mining revealed that diatom assemblages in Sierra Nevada lakes are affected by:

 Indices of mineral weathering -(ANC, SO₄, Silica)

• Indices of nitrogen availability in water column (NO₃, PN) and in sediments (%N, $\delta^{15}N$)

 Indices of carbon availability and source (DOC, δ¹³C)

Results from PCA and CCA (2)

Final set of variables to model:



Step 3: Develop Transfer Models Between Diatoms and ANC, NO₃ and $\delta^{13}C$

Reconstruct water chemistry of the lakes using sediment diatoms and weighted-average approach



The Models



Step 4: Diatom Stratigraphy Moat Lake (currently N-limited)



Diatom Stratigraphy Hamilton Lake (currently P-limited)



Step 5: Apply Models to Long Cores



ANC Reconstructions

Moat:

- a. Increase near end of Little Ice Age
- b. Steady decrease from 1860's to 1960's
- c. Steady increase from 1960's to 2000

Hamilton:

- a. Increase near end of Little Ice Age then return to trend
- b. Steady increase from 1960's to 1990's then decrease



NO₃ Reconstructions

- a. Periods of increase during late 1800's and during years before and during Dustbowl
- Slight decrease or no change from 1930's to present
- c. F. Crotononesis appears ~1930

Hamilton:

- a. Abrupt increase near end of Little Ice Age then steady
- b. F. Crotononesis appears ~1900



Implications for N and H⁺ Critical Loads Analysis

- Shifts in subdominant diatom species are observed in both lakes
- Shifts occur at multiple points - strongest changes related to NO₃ and F. Crotonensis may occur too early to be deposition driven →
- ANC patterns could be attributed to increasing acid deposition over most of 20th Century with decrease in late 20th due to regulation but what about climate..









MOAT

HAMILTON



Climate Signals? Snowfall \uparrow Snow duration \uparrow NO₃ \uparrow



Conclusions

- Our work suggests that diatom communities respond strongly to water quality indices for weathering and nitrogen availability
- Our work demonstrates that diatom communities and lake chemistry have changed over the past 200 years
- However, whether atmospheric deposition of acids and nutrients are the strongest determinants of water quality and are driving diatom shifts in the SN is still an open question
- The timing of F. Crotonensis appearance is very early in 20th Century and does not coincide with increasing NO₃ concentrations...

Can Diatom Shifts Occur Without Changes in Lake Chemistry?



Trends detected at Emerald Lake:

✤PP increase

Increased phytoplankton
biomass

Both trends suggest increased loading of P, BUT they occurred without any increase in PO₄ or TDP

Perhaps N deposition did increase at Moat and drive F. Crotonensis shift without NO3 increase

Funding provided by the National Park Service, US Forest Service and University of California

Ε

S