# Sagar Krupa<sup>1</sup>, M. Nosal<sup>2</sup>, E. Ryl<sup>3</sup> and A.H. Legge<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA (<u>krupa001@umn.edu</u>)
 <sup>2</sup>Statscon, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
 <sup>3</sup>Agronomist, Seba Beach, Alberta, Canada
 <sup>4</sup>Biosphere Solutions, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

 Alberta is the single largest producer of oil and natural gas combined in N. America

• Of interest are the emissions of  $SO_x$ ,  $NO_x$ , hydrocarbons and related organic compounds and PM

• Secondary pollutants include O<sub>3</sub> and fine particles

• Of concern to forage production for animals are: the direct effects of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> and their joint impacts with growth-regulating climate variables such as air temperature and precipitation

As opposed to the traditional view of examining each pollutant source separately, application of the concept of airshed management is to treat the combined emissions from all sources within the air shed contributing to the nature of the regional scale air mass and the interactions of that air mass with the air quality of the surrounding regions





Town of Drayton Valley, AB GPS Coordinates - N: 53.12506, W: 114.75104. Pop: 6,000; Elevation: 870 m (ASL)

• Members of the Program Board of Directors (represented by all sectors, government, private and public) concluded at the outset that:

 Any air quality impact assessments must be immediately relevant to ambient conditions in the study region and therefore, no artificial or experimental field exposures were to be used

• Equally importantly, plant cultural practices were to be identical to those of the agricultural community in the region

 Under ambient conditions, the dynamics of multi-air pollutant exposures and chronic crop (yield) responses are stochastic by their nature

• However, virtually all our knowledge of that subject is based on univariate studies in artificial field exposures

# **Study Summary**

**Study period:** 5 years or growing seasons **Crop rotation cycle:** 5 years Number of harvests/year: 2 Crop age classes: 2-5 years Total number of treatments (5 years): 78 **AP-induced foliar injury:** Not detected

Summary of hourly air pollutant and climate data (1998-2002), All sites combined

Variable	Minimum	Maximum
SO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	< DL*	52
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)	< DL*	97
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)	<dl*< td=""><td>82</td></dl*<>	82
GSR (W/m <sup>2**</sup> )	0	1440
Temp. (°C)	-18	34
RH (%)	13	99
Precip. (mm)	280	320

\*DL = Detection Limit; \*\* Global Solar Radiation, only for hrs with GSR ≥ 50 W/m2)

Data from all study sites, years and the two harvests/year combined:

Harvest # 1 yield > median value:

Harvest # 2 yield > median value:

Harvest # 1 yield < median value: Harvest # 2 yield <median value: 30% (+17 to +118%) 40% 70% (-15 to -83%)

60%



y = a/(1 + exp(b - cx))

#### Where:

y = the plant yield (in gm/0.25 m2)
x = the time in Julian days since the beginning of the year
a, b and c = model parameters

### **ALFALFA YIELD VS. ALL PREDICTORS**

#### Nomenclature (Examples):

 $O_3 p95_1 =$  Hourly  $O_3$  concentration 95th percentile during alfalfa growth stage # 1

 $SO_2_3 =$  Integral (concentration X duration) of  $SO_2$  exposures during alfalfa growth stage # 3,  $NO_x$  exposures are also defined as an "integral".

All others are average values, except precipitation in totals.

Hybrid empirical-mechanistic time series model of cause and effect

 $Y_{I} = \beta_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} X_{ii} + \varepsilon_{ii}$ 

Where:

 $Y_i$  = Alfalfa yield by harvest or case #

 $X_{ij}$  = Value of predictor j for the case #

 $\varepsilon_{ii}$  = Error associated with the case and predictor j

Predictor selection: Best regression X Mallow's Critical Point (Cp)

Alfalfa =  $5260 - 14.7 O_3 \text{ med}_1 + 7.85 O_3 \text{ p95}_1 - 75.8 O_3 \text{ med}_2 + 36.0 O_3 \text{ p95}_2 + 89.7 O_3 \text{ med}_3 - O_3 \text{ p95}_3 + 0.565 SO_2 _1 - 0.115 NO_x_1 - 41.8 T_1 + 16.0 RH_1 + 2.35 GSR_1 + 0.483 SO_2 _2 + 0.125 NO_x_2 + 22.4 T_2 - 53.2 RH_2 - 3.94 GSR_2 + 0.160 SO_2 _3 + 0.217NO_x_3 - 140 T_3 - 5.3 RH_3 - 1.83 GSR_3 - 5.05 Precip_1$ 

 $R^2 = 77.0\%, R^2 (adj) = 67.7\%, p = 0.000$ 

% Contribution to R<sup>2</sup> by category

Ozone:25.5Sulfur dioxide + Oxides of nitrogen:25.0Climate:26.7Other:22.8

Model's Predictive Capacity of Yield: (Results of Discriminant or Classification Analysis) High yield (> Median value): 92% Low yield (< Median value): 88%

### Conclusion

There is a critical need for multi-variant ambient studies, as opposed to the continued reliance on univariate controlled exposure experiments and incomplete definition of the crop response surface